"Whitley, Christopher" </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE; GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=1635ECB76E5345D6BCCB52D7314B18D9-WHITLEY,CHRISTOPHER>

To: Brooks

From:

Karl;Hague

Mark:Hammerschmidt

Ron; Tapia

Cecilia; Thomas

Hattie; Field

Jeff;Gravatt

<u>Dan</u>

CC:

Date: 12/9/2013 10:26:40 AM

Subject: Follow-Up Questions from Wall Street Journal

Seeking input/direction here from everyone on this message. This is from John Emshwiller, reporter for Wall Street Journal.

Chris,

I have some follow-up questions regarding the agency's response to my question 7: has the EPA done any analysis about what could happen if subsurface smoldering event does reach the radioactive material? If so, what does that analysis say are the possible consequences? If no analysis has been done, why not?

Also, does the EPA consider it inaccurate to call this subsurface smoldering event an underground fire? Some people, including the Missouri Attorney General's office, have referred to it as an underground fire. What does the EPA refer to it as?

I also have some follow-up questions to the EPA's response to my question 10. My questions are:

- 1. I have been told that the Remedy Review Board never issued a formal board letter or memorandum regarding West Lake. Instead, the board's chairperson issued a letter/memorandum regarding West Lake. Is this accurate? If it is accurate, what was the reason for the chairperson issuing a letter/memorandum rather than the board?
- 2. I have been told a formal board review letter/memorandum would be public while a chairman's letter/memorandum isn't. Is that true?
- 3. Has the Remedy Review Board issued any public document regarding West Lake? If so, where can I find a copy?
- 4. Has the board or its chairperson issued any non-public document, memorandum or opinion regarding West Lake?

This chairperson's memo/letter I referred to could also be known as a consultation memo. Thanks and regards,

